10 Symptoms of a Dysfunctional Organization

This is the era of organizational thrashing. Business results are falling short while executive pay and bonuses are increasing. Strategies are executed in fits and starts. Single companies are merging, holding companies are divesting. When businesses are acquired, excess resources are shed and then the business is sold off at a loss. New employees are hired months after an expensive layoff. Progress is no more lasting than the previous quarter’s budget or profit numbers.

What makes one organization a success and another a failure in this chaotic business environment?

We are in our third decade of helping organizations to develop their people and to achieve desired business results. In interacting with hundreds of organizations—public and private, large and small, growing and fighting for survival—we have identified common characteristics of organizations that are in trouble. These indicators of dysfunction can be used to identify areas where the organization can be improved.

NOTE: Although this paper addresses workplace issues, our research has shown that the dysfunction indicators are also useful in other “organizations” such as the family, church, community groups and so on. Lessons from the workplace can readily be applied in these other areas of life.

1. Constant crisis

In the early days before personal computing, organizations had “word processing centers” responsible for churning out the paperwork that keeps an organization running. There were supposedly two classes of work going through the center, normal work with a two-day turnaround and “rush” work that had to completed ASAP.

It became a quick indicator of how well an organization was run to merely ask the Center Manager, “What percentage of your work comes in as a ‘rush’ job?” In many workgroups, it hovered around 20 percent, but in some it ran as high as 80 percent.

In today’s harried business climate, running on accelerated “internet time,” many organizations have settled into a permanent crisis mode. Management is continually unhappy with performance, everything is always over budget, all projects need to be done faster and cheaper, people’s jobs are constantly in jeopardy, and so on.
Stress management experts tell us that, to stay healthy, for every period of stress, there must be an equal period of “Whew!” to break the pressure. The predictable consequences of unremitting stress are: (1) people try harder as long as they can, (2) people burn out or break down, and (3) people ultimately give up. A fear-driven, unhappy, pressured, can’t-win environment generates don’t-care employees who check their brains at the door.

The problem is a total lack of balance. Organizations cannot survive in a continuous crisis mode. Management must either provide breaks in the crises or else develop established processes for handling day-to-day requirements without simply applying more pressure to workers.

2. Organizational Insanity

A common Albert Einstein quote is:

“Insanity is doing the same thing over and over … and expecting a different result.”

Many organizations are locked into this form of insanity. The motto seems to be, “If it doesn’t work, don’t fix it.” No matter how many business gurus urge managers to encourage change, produce paradigm shifts, pursue the Wow! or get a creative whack on the side of the head, things stay the same.

This stay-the-course approach is like the New England farmer who won the lottery. When asked what he was going to do with all the money he responded, “I’m goin’ to keep on fahmin’ until it’s all gone.”

Leaders must quit worrying about leading edge concepts such as, “If it ain’t broke, break it.” It’s hard enough for most organizations to address what is wrong and to fix it.

3. Pluralistic Ignorance

It is amazing in dysfunctional organizations how many people just don’t “get it.” Using a teenage term popularized in the movie of the same name, they are “clueless.” In many organizations, nearly everyone is clueless, i.e., pluralistic ignorance.

Speaker Joel Barker, advocate of the term “paradigm,” quotes research by Thomas Kuhn that showed scientists were physically unable to perceive data that didn’t agree with their pre-established ideas. Managers and workers encounter similar difficulties.

Effective organizations are open to reality. As a banker who specialized in helping companies work through loan defaults said, “No matter how bad it looks,
It’s always worse.” He was an expert at getting clients to pay attention to the facts and draw rational conclusions from them. His job was to make certain executives “got it.”

4. Relative success

It is easy for managers to fool themselves by comparing their operations to other, more dysfunctional organizations. “You think we’re bad, you ought to be working for Crack-the-Whip Enterprises.” They make the mistake of looking at relative success rather than absolute success.

Teenagers have a wonderful term for this self-deception: “We suck less.” Being less bad is not good. Teens recognize, rightfully, that being better off than terrible is basically still terrible.

Dysfunctional organizations look externally with a sense of accomplishment (“We’re losing less money than anyone in our industry”) and ignore the fact that investors can find numerous opportunities in other industries that are actually making money. Or they compare themselves to their former internal condition (“We’re better than we used to be—at least we’re moving in the right direction”) even though the internal work environment is thoroughly demotivating.

The problem is a lack of absolute standards. Measures such as specific business results and trends, internal process efficiencies, employee and customer satisfaction, and strategic goals and plans are not being used.

5. Sub-optimizing

In his seminars on reengineering, author Michael Hammer talks about the problems of sub-optimization of processes. In many organizations, there is a fundamental assumption that if each department is maximizing its effectiveness (sub-optimizing), then the organization as a whole is optimized.

This explains why management can cut the I.T. technical support budget and believe there has been a savings. What actually occurs is that end-user PC support needs don’t disappear, they are now addressed out in the departments by (more highly paid) professionals helping their co-workers. Although the I.T. budget has been reduced, overall organizational support costs actually increase. But they are now buried in everyone else’s budgets.

Sailors have a funny saying that relates to sub-optimization, “Not on my watch.” The ship may sink and everyone drowns, but at least it wasn’t while they were on duty. In dysfunctional organizations, everyone is worried about his or her own “watch” to the overall detriment of the organization.
6. Indirect causes

An early warning sign is when the sentences in a conversation shift to passive verbs—particularly when talking about problems. Someone will say, “Sales are down.” While this may be true, the passive nature of the sentence omits any causal information. It’s as if “sales” have an independent life of their own out of everyone’s control.

In continuous quality improvement, finding the “root cause” is a critical step in understanding defects and in improving processes. Passive verb sentences need to be replaced by active ones such as, “The sales force is not meeting quota” or “Manufacturing is sending out too many DOA products.” There has to be a “who did what” in there somewhere in order to understand responsibility.

In too many organizations, “things happen” rather than “people and processes produce results.” The indirect cause attitude suggests that events are beyond anyone’s control. The root cause approach means that processes can be improved to address the issues.

7. OK sorry’s

There is a big shift in moving from the World of Kids to the World of Adults. If you swing a bat in a crowd of kids and plunk someone, you’re off the hook with a sincere, “I’m sorry.” Run a red light and plow into another car, and being sorry for the resulting injuries isn’t enough.

Dysfunctional organizations can get locked into a “Sorry is OK” mentality. This is not to suggest that being sorry for mistakes is wrong, or that blame is even a primary concern. But there are a myriad of actions for which it is not acceptable to be sorry.

One example is sexual harassment. Courts had established the “should have known” principle that some behaviors are so obviously out of line, some situations so clearly inappropriate, that managers should have known better. Contrition and remediation after the fact aren’t sufficient.

Dysfunctional organizations have a high tolerance for failure, as long as the attitude is right and “lessons have been learned.”

Successful organizations realize that the higher you get in an organization, the fewer lessons should be learned. Executives are expected to bring with them certain experiences and skills to perform at a high level. Individuals who don’t possess these capabilities are probably not in the right position.
8. Segmented morals

A small business owner once remarked wryly, “Honesty as a policy only applies to the first ten thousand dollars. After that, the phrase is ‘good business.’”

Dysfunctional organizations are infected with a morality of selective absolutes. The stated values and actual behaviors, usually self-serving, are often in direct opposition to each other. This situational ethics approach to organizational values generates a conflicting set of behavioral guidelines that destroy management’s credibility.

For example, “Employees are our greatest resource, and that’s why we’re lowering their medical benefits and laying off about 10 percent of them due to a new outsourcing arrangement.” Or, “Honesty and integrity are our greatest strengths, but we’re reneging on our building agreement with the city unless it can come up with a bigger tax abatement.”

Healthy organizations have a set of values and a behavioral culture that is consistent. The “do as I say” and “do as I do” formal and informal messages are in agreement.

9. Multi-class culture

Many organizations are heavily segmented by class. The signs are everywhere: executive stock bonuses, golden parachutes, executive dining rooms, executive health club, country club memberships, chauffeurs, special parking, and so on. In the interests of “executive productivity,” employees are quickly shown who is important and who is not.

In other organizations, even very large ones, the company President can be seen lugging his plastic tray at lunch in the company cafeteria. Executives park on the lot with everyone else. All employees are on a first-name basis. While there are obvious organizational differences in title, pay and power, the overall culture is one of a class-free society.

Remember the lesson of Sam Walton. He made billions using near-minimum wage workers, helping to destroy their local vendors and taking their former profits out of town. Yet these same employees loved him because he (1) dressed casually, (2) treated everyone as an important person, and (3) drove an old pickup truck.

10. Broken behavior-consequence chains

According to the best motivational speakers, the path to success is simple. Believe in yourself, work hard and well, and you will succeed. Conversely, lack confidence, do less than your best and lower than maximum effort, and you will fail.
Dysfunctional organizations are infected with a morality of selective absolutes. This situational ethics approach to organizational values generates a conflicting set of behavioral guidelines that destroy management’s credibility.

Today, cynics say, “Work hard and keep your nose to the grindstone, and you’ll end up with a short nose.” The Peter Principle states that people move up until they’re incompetent, and then stay there. In government, the joke is, “Screw up and move up.”

The worst mistake is to become invaluable. Bestsellers tout “winning through intimidation” and leveraging secrets of everyone from Machiavelli to Star Trek’s Captain Picard. Groups are “on the road to Abilene” despite everyone agreeing that they don’t want to go there.

The problem is the one-joke premise of Scott Adams’ Dilbert cartoon. Workplace behaviors don’t generate the expected consequence. (The proof is, despite all the idiocies, Dilbert’s company is still in business.)

In functional organizations, there is a rational action-reward system in operation. People are incented to achieve because there is a commensurate payoff to be gained.

**Summary: slaves to the process**

A common theme through these symptoms of dysfunction is one of continuing the status quo. Organizations, large or small, follow Newton’s Law of Momentum: “A body in motion tends to stay in motion and tends to continue in the same direction.”

To be effective, leaders must first take an outside view of the organization. The ten symptoms above are helpful in recognizing and communicating what is happening. They provide, with succinct phrases, a terminology that can be used to discuss problems. They provide a first step in analyzing why something is not working, and provide a basic approach for remediation.

If these are the symptoms of dysfunctional organizations, then there is one symptom of a functional organization. The latter never tolerates dysfunction. The alternative is “organizational insanity.”
**10 Symptoms of a Dysfunctional Organization**

---

**About the author**

Ken Cooper is a founding partner of Performance Improvement Results, a St. Louis-based productivity improvement firm. He has conducted over 2,500 training seminars and appeared in over 300 live and recorded video-based e-learning programs. Ken has written for *Training, Trainer's Workshop, Chief Learning Officer,* and *The Corporate Board,* and is co-author of *Taming the Terrible Too's of Training* (TotalComm Press) and author of *Effective Competency Modeling & Reporting* (AMACOM).

---

All names, companies, brands, products, and services mentioned in this white paper are the trade names or registered trademarks of their respective owners.

Information in this report was obtained from sources Performance Improvement Results believes to be reliable.

Performance Improvement Results disclaims any and all warranties as to the reliability, accuracy and adequacy of such information, and Performance Improvement Results shall have no liability for the inclusion or exclusion of information. Performance Improvement Results may, without notice, change expressed opinions. Use of this report to achieve desired results is the sole responsibility of the reader.

---

© 2006, 2012, CooperComm, Inc. All rights reserved. 636-537-1100 • papers@piresults.com